#### Lecture 4: Regularization and Bayesian Statistics

#### Feng Li

Shandong University

fli@sdu.edu.cn

September 20, 2023

#### Lecture 4: Regularization and Bayesian Statistics



- 2 Regularized Linear Regression
- 3 Regularized Logistic Regression



# **Overfitting Problem**



y = θ<sub>0</sub> + θ<sub>1</sub>x
 y = θ<sub>0</sub> + θ<sub>1</sub>x + θ<sub>2</sub>x<sup>2</sup>
 y = θ<sub>0</sub> + θ<sub>1</sub>x + ··· + θ<sub>5</sub>x<sup>5</sup>

# Overfitting Problem (Contd.)



- Underfitting, or high bias, is when the form of our hypothesis function *h* maps poorly to the trend of the data
- Overfitting, or high variance, is caused by a hypothesis function that fits the available data but does not generalize well to predict new data

# Addressing The Overfitting Problem

- Reduce the number of features
  - Manually select which features to keep
  - Use a model selection algorithm
- Regularization
  - Keep all the features, but reduce the magnitude of parameters  $\theta_j$
  - Regularization works well when we have a lot of slightly useful features.

• Consider the following function

$$\theta_0+\theta_1x+\theta_2x^2+\theta_3x^3+\theta_4x^4$$

• To eliminate the influence of  $\theta_3 x^3$  and  $\theta_4 x^4$  to smoothen hypothesis function, the cost function can be modified as follows

$$\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{2m} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2 + 1000 \cdot \theta_3^2 + 1000 \cdot \theta_4^2 \right]$$

• Large values of  $\theta_3$  and  $\theta_4$  will increase the objective function

#### • A more general form

$$\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{2m} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j^2 \right]$$

where  $\lambda$  is the regularization parameter

- As the magnitudes of the fitting parameters increase, there will be an increasing penalty on the cost function
- This penalty is dependent on the squares of the parameters as well as the magnitude of  $\lambda$

- Gradient descent
  - Repeat  $\{$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{0} &:= \theta_{0} - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_{0}^{(i)} \\ \theta_{j} &:= \theta_{j} - \alpha \left[ (\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_{j}^{(i)}) + \frac{\lambda}{m} \theta_{j} \right] \end{aligned}$$

} until convergence condition is satisfied

• The regularization is performed by  $\lambda heta_j/m$ 

Normal equation

$$\theta = (X^T X + \lambda \cdot L)^{-1} X^T \vec{y}$$

where

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Proof can be found in Problem Set 2

• Recall the cost function for logistic regression

$$J(\theta) = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} [y^{(i)} \log(h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}))]$$

• Adding a term for regularization

$$J(\theta) = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} [y^{(i)} \log(h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}))] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_{j}^{2}$$

• Gradient descent:

Repeat

• 
$$\theta_0 := \theta_0 - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_0^{(i)}$$

• 
$$\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \left( \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)} + \frac{\lambda}{m} \theta_j \right)$$
 for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ 

until convergence condition is satisfied

#### Parameter Estimation in Probabilistic Models

• Assume data are generated via probabilistic model

 $d \sim p(d; \theta)$ 

- $p(d; \theta)$ : Probability distribution underlying the data
  - $\theta$ : Fixed but unknown distribution parameter
- Given: *m* independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) samples of the data

$$D = \{d^{(i)}\}_{i=1,\cdots,m}$$

- Independent and Identically Distributed
  - Given  $\theta$ , each sample is independent of all other samples
  - All samples drawn from the same distribution
- Goal: Estimate parameter  $\theta$  that best models/describes the data
- Several ways to define the "best"

## Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)

- Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE): Choose the parameter θ that maximizes the probability of the data, given that parameter
- Probability of the data, given the parameters, is called *likelihood*, a function of  $\theta$  and defined as:

$$L(\theta) = p(D; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} p(d^{(i)}; \theta)$$

• MLE typically maximizes the *log-likelihood* instead of the likelihood

$$\ell(\theta) = \log L(\theta) = \log \prod_{i=1}^{m} p(d^{(i)}; \theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log p(d^{(i)}; \theta)$$

• Maximum likelihood parameter estimation

$$\theta_{MLE} = \arg \max_{\theta} \ell(\theta) = \arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log p(d^{(i)}; \theta)$$

### Maximum-a-Posteriori Estimation (MAP)

- Maximum-a-Posteriori Estimation (MAP): Maximize the posterior probability of θ (i.e., probability in the light of the observed data)
- Posterior probability of  $\theta$  is given by the Bayes Rule

$$p( heta \mid D) = rac{p( heta)p(D \mid heta)}{p(D)}$$

- $p(\theta)$ : Prior probability of  $\theta$  (without having seen any data)
- p(D): Probability of the data (independent of  $\theta$ )

$$p(D) = \int_{ heta} p( heta) p(D \mid heta) d heta$$

- The Bayes Rule lets us update our belief about  $\theta$  in the light of observed data
- While doing MAP, we usually maximize the log of the posteriori probability

• Maximum-a-Posteriori parameter estimation

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{MAP} &= \arg \max_{\theta} p(\theta \mid D) \\ &= \arg \max_{\theta} \frac{p(\theta)p(D \mid \theta)}{p(D)} \\ &= \arg \max_{\theta} p(\theta)p(D \mid \theta) \\ &= \arg \max_{\theta} \log p(\theta)p(D \mid \theta) \\ &= \arg \max_{\theta} (\log p(\theta) + \log p(D \mid \theta)) \\ &= \arg \max_{\theta} \left( \log p(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log p(d^{(i)} \mid \theta) \right) \end{aligned}$$

- Same as MLE except the extra log-prior-distribution term!
- MAP allows incorporating our prior knowledge about  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  in its estimation

$$\theta_{MLE} = \arg \max_{\theta} \ell(\theta) = \arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log p(d^{(i)}; \theta)$$
  
$$\theta_{MAP} = \arg \max_{\theta} \left( \log p(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log p(d^{(i)} \mid \theta) \right)$$

#### Linear Regression: MLE Solution

• For each  $(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$ ,

$$y^{(i)} = \theta^T x^{(i)} + \epsilon^{(i)}$$

 $\bullet$  The noise  $\epsilon^{(i)}$  is drawn from a Gaussian distribution

$$\epsilon^{(i)} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

• Each  $y^{(i)}$  is drawn from the following Gaussian

$$y^{(i)}|x^{(i)}; \theta \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta^T x^{(i)}, \sigma^2)$$

• The log-likelihood

$$\ell(\theta) = \log L(\theta) = m \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (y^{(i)} - \theta^{T} x^{(i)})^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

• Maximize  $\ell(\theta)$ 

$$\theta_{MLE} = \arg\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y^{(i)} - \theta^T x^{(i)})^2$$

#### Linear Regression: MAP Solution

• heta follows a Gaussian distribution  $heta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \lambda^2 I)$ 

$$p(\theta) = rac{1}{(2\pi\lambda^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-rac{ heta^T heta}{2\lambda^2}
ight)$$

and thus

$$\log p(\theta) = n \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\lambda} - \frac{\theta^T \theta}{2\lambda^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{MAP} &= \arg \max_{\theta} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log p(y^{(i)} | x^{(i)}, \theta) + \log p(\theta) \right\} \\ &= \arg \max_{\theta} \left\{ m \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (y^{(i)} - \theta^T x^{(i)})^2}{2\sigma^2} + n \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\lambda}} - \frac{\theta^T \theta}{2\lambda^2} \right\} \\ &= \arg \min_{\theta} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (y^{(i)} - \theta^T x^{(i)})^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\theta^T \theta}{2\lambda^2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

## Linear Regression: MLE vs MAP

MLE solution

$$\theta_{MLE} = \arg\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y^{(i)} - \theta^T x^{(i)})^2$$

MAP solution

$$\theta_{MAP} = \arg\min_{\theta} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y^{(i)} - \theta^T x^{(i)})^2 + \frac{\theta^T \theta}{2\lambda^2} \right\}$$

- What do we learn?
  - MLE estimation of a parameter leads to unregularized solution
  - MAP estimation of a parameter leads to regularized solution
  - The prior distribution acts as a regularizer in MAP estimation
- For MAP, different prior distributions lead to different regularizers
  - Gaussian prior on  $\theta$  regularizes the  $\ell_2$  norm of  $\theta$
  - Laplace prior  $\exp(C\|\theta\|_1)$  on  $\theta$  regularizes the the  $\ell_1$  norm of  $\theta$

- Often we do not just care about predicting the label y for an example
- Rather, we want to predict the label probabilities  $p(y|x, \theta)$
- Consider the following function ( $y \in \{-1,1\}$ )

$$p(y \mid x; \theta) = g(y\theta^T x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y\theta^T x)}$$

- g is the logistic function which maps all real number into (0,1)
- This is logistic regression model, which is a classification model

- What does the decision boundary look like for Logistic Regression?
- At the decision boundary labels +1/-1 becomes equiprobable

$$p(y = +1 | x, \theta) = p(y = -1 | x, \theta)$$

$$\frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\theta^T x)} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\theta^T x)}$$

$$\exp(-\theta^T x) = \exp(\theta^T x)$$

$$\theta^T x = 0$$

 The decision boundary is therefore linear ⇒ Logistic Regression is a linear classifier (note: it is possible to kernelize and make it nonlinear)

#### Logistic Regression: MLE Solution

Log-likelihood

$$\ell(\theta) = \log \prod_{i=1}^{m} p(y^{(i)} | x^{(i)}, \theta)$$
  
=  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log p(y^{(i)} | x^{(i)}, \theta)$   
=  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y^{(i)}\theta^{T}x^{(i)})}$   
=  $-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log[1 + \exp(-y^{(i)}\theta^{T}x^{(i)})]$ 

MLE solution

$$\theta_{MLE} = \arg\min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log[1 + \exp(-y^{(i)}\theta^{T}x^{(i)})]$$

• No close-form solution exists, but we can do gradient descent on  $\theta$ Feng Li (SDU) Regularization and Bayesian Statistics September 20, 2023 22/25

### Logistic Regression: MAP Solution

• Again, assume heta follows a Gaussian distribution  $heta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \lambda^2 I)$ 

$$p(\theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\lambda^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\theta^T \theta}{2\lambda^2}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \log p(\theta) = -n \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\lambda}} - \frac{\theta^T \theta}{2\lambda^2}$$

MAP solution

$$\theta_{MAP} = \arg\min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log[1 + \exp(-y^{(i)}\theta^{T}x^{(i)})] + \frac{1}{2\lambda^{2}}\theta^{T}\theta$$

 See "A comparison of numerical optimizers for logistic regression" by Tom Minka on optimization techniques (gradient descent and others) for logistic regression (both MLE and MAP)

# Logistic Regression: MLE vs MAP

MLE solution

$$\theta_{MLE} = \arg\min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log[1 + \exp(-y^{(i)}\theta^{T}x^{(i)})]$$

MAP solution

$$\theta_{MAP} = \arg\min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log[1 + \exp(-y^{(i)}\theta^{T}x^{(i)})] + \frac{1}{2\lambda^{2}}\theta^{T}\theta$$

- Take-home messages (we already saw these before :-) )
  - MLE estimation of a parameter leads to unregularized solutions
  - MAP estimation of a parameter leads to regularized solutions
  - The prior distribution acts as a regularizer in MAP estimation
- For MAP, different prior distributions lead to different regularizers
  - Gaussian prior on  $\theta$  regularizes the  $\ell_2$  norm of  $\theta$
  - Laplace prior  $\exp(C\|\theta\|_1)$  on  $\theta$  regularizes the the  $\ell_1$  norm of  $\theta$

# Thanks!

Q & A